

ABSTRACT

# ANNESTHETIC EFFECTS OF CLOVE OIL ON SURVIVILITY OF GRASS CARP, CTENOPHARYNGODON IDELLA CARP SEED

# **PAWAN KUMAR\***

ICAR- Central Institute of Fisheries Education, Fisheries Resource Management Division, Off Yari Road, Panch Marg, Versova, Mumbai - 400 061, INDIA e-mail: pawanfrm@gmail.com

is becoming more evident as a safe and low cost alternative.

# **KEYWORDS**

Annesthetic Clove oil Ctenopharyngodon idella

**Received on :** 09.05.2015

Accepted on : 20.08.2015

\*Corresponding author

#### INTRODUCTION

The clove oil is used for a long time in medicine, cosmetics and food industry as a food aromatizer. It has been applied in human medicine as a mild anesthetic from ancient time (Taylor and Roberts, 1999). Clove oil is a dark brown liquid, which is distilled from the leaves, stalks, flower, buds and clove tree Eugenia caryophyllata (Keene et al., 1998; Zaikov et al., 2008). An emerging and effication anesthetic use in fish is clove oil, containing the active ingradient Eugenol (4-allyl-2-Methoxyphenol), constitutes 70-95% (Taylor and Roberts 1999; Kurt et al., 2001; Keene et al. 1998; Hekimoglu and Ergun, 2012; Fernando, 2014) of the total weight of the base. Clove oil has become a commonly used anesthetic that can serve as an alternative to tricane methanesulphonate in commercial (non food) fish and fish industries in the US and Japan (Hikasa et al., 1986; Mumday and Wilson, 1997). Volume of the informations are available on the effects of anesthetics on fish with particular reference to use of benzocaine, metonidate, tricaine methano sulfonate (MS-222), guinaldine sulfate, phenoxy-ehanol (Soto and Burhanuddin, 1995; Jennings and Looney, 1998; Peake, 1998; Ross and Ross, 2008; Prince and Powell, 2000; Gomes et al., 2001). Several investigations have identified the advantage and disadvantages of clove oil and eugenol and reported these products as safe and effective (Sutili et al., 2014). The studies indicate that clove oil and eugenol can be effective at controlling mites, termites, insects, weedy species and mosquitoes at lower application rates (Vaid et al., 2010; Chintalchere et al., 2013). Anesthetic effect of the clove oil on some aquatic organisms was investigated in such cases as its

The aim of this experiment was to investigate the possibilities for using of clove oil as anaesthetic effect in Grass carp *Ctenopharyngodon idella*. Each test concentration was tested with 10 fish / tank of grass carp having average fingerlings length (65  $\pm$  0.30 mm) and 3.68 g body weight of 90 days old and advanced fingerlings (10.8  $\pm$  1.25 cm) and 15.5 g body weight of 150 days. The tests were carried out under controlled conditions tested at concentration of 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05 and 0.06  $\mu$ /L. Experiments were conducted in replicates by adopting standard static bioassay method. The safe application factor equation and safe application rate for the clove oil were also worked out separately for different life history stages of *C. idella*. The 72 hrs LC<sub>50</sub> value exposed clove oil worked out to be 0.050 and 0.029  $\mu$ /L for fingerlings and advanced fingerlings. The water quality is determined by temperature, pH, CO<sub>2</sub> total ammonia, nitrate, and dissolved oxygen in different concentration. Therefore, present study of clove oil for aquaculture purposes have to encourage because this natural anesthetic

use in the transfer of fish species used in the food sector (Ross and Ross, 2008). Indian major carp were exposed to varying doses of clove oil (Eugenol) to determine the 96 hrs  $LC_{50}$  to observe the recovery time. The researches on clove oil anesthetic effect on *C. idella* are insufficient and limited. In recent years, the pharmacological action of eugenol has been developed to immunological function, central cardiovascular system, digestive system, blood biochemistry and urinary system (Prakash and Gupta, 2005; Kong et *al.*, 2014)

Therefore special attention is paid to clove oil as an anesthetic natural substance in the aquaculture and there is a good reason that this substance is considered as an alternative.

The clove oil finds its wide application in the transportation of either fish seeds or brood stocks, because the drugs applied reduces the metabolic rate of the animal and reduces the rate of oxygen consumption along with the reduction in the release of the carbon-di-oxide and ammonia to the transporting media. The drugs further makes the fish or animal inactive thereby preventing the chances of injury during transportation and also promote survival rate of the animal. The clove oil most commonly used anesthetic for invasive fisheries research, but few studies have examined the use of low concentrations of clove oil to achieve sedation for fish handling and transportation. Hanggono (2003) was investigated on toxicity of clove oil is recommended as an effective anesthetic for sea bass fry based on good efficacy at 5 ppm for transport purpose and 20 ppm for completely anesthetized. Effective clove oil concentrations for anesthesia induction and recovery (40-80mg/L) for seven out of the eight species. Javahery et al. (2012) observed that changes in fish behavior during progressively deeper anaesthesia and the physiological effects

of clove oil. Chellapan et al. (2013) also suggested that 45 ppm clove oil was the optimum dose of anesthetics for safe transport of Angel fish. Kamble et al. (2014) reported that the highest doses (15.10 min) and lowest (2.20 min) induction time were noticed at the dose of 0.04 and 0.08 ppm respectively as anesthetic in common carp (Cyprinus carpio) were used in static waters. Dolezelova et al. (2011) and Cortes-Rojas (2014) reported the toxicity level of clove oil was tested in the medium lethal concentrations (LD<sub>50</sub>) at 96 h were ( $18.2 \pm 5.52$ ) mg/mL in Danio rerio and  $(21.7 \pm 0.8)$  mg/mL in Poecilia reticulata. Kroon (2015) worked on efficacy of clove oil for anesthesia was examined on eight species of Australian tropical freshwater fish. Several researchers worked on clove oil as a fish anesthetic have been reported it to be regarded as safe for the user, an effective and inexpensive anesthetic. Therefore, clove oil to observe differences in anesthesia onset and recovery times determined, to conduct to determine the proper dosage.

The objective of this study was to assess the efficacy of clove oil to determine the acute toxicity and reduce physiological stress responses in grass carp seed.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Experimental Animal**

The study was conducted at College of Fisheries (OUAT), Rangailunda, Odisha during 2005. Grass carp fingerlings (65  $\pm$  0.30 mm) 3.68 g weight of 90 days old and advanced fingerlings (10.8  $\pm$  1.25 cm) 15.5 g weight of 150 days old were employed for the present study. For fingerlings and advanced fingerlings rectangular jar of 10.0 L to 20 L capacity were used. All the trails were run in duplicate with renewal of the medium at every 24 hrs till end of the experiment.

#### Water Quality Parameters

The temperature, pH, CO<sub>2</sub> total ammonia, nitrate and dissolved oxygen were recorded every hour for the first 12 hrs of the experiment, every 3 hrs for the next 12 hrs and every 6 hrs for remaining 72 hrs. The pH of water was measured using a portable global digital pH meter. The CO<sub>2</sub>, total ammonia, nitrate, dissolved oxygen content of water ware estimated define by APHA (1998). The water quality was calculated based on a two –way ANOVA followed by the Tukey Test (P<0.05) (Zar, 2006). The data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SE.

# Preparation of Anesthesia

The experiments for determining the efficiency of clove oil as an anesthetic were carried out under controlled laboratory conditions. The alcoholic extract of clove oil product manufactured by M/S Dabur India Ltd., Uttar Pradesh has been used for the purpose to find out lethality, toxicity effects and  $LC_{50}$  value for the herbal formulations. Its anesthetic effect was tested at water temperature of 25°C. Herbal formulation of fish anesthetics clove oil was used individually in the present study. A stock solution of fish anesthetics was prepared in glass water and the required concentration was achieved by the addition of calculated amounts of the stock solution to the test medium. The assessment of stock solution was mixed with the experimental tank water to produces final concentration of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 and 0.6  $\mu$ l/L.

# Statistical analysis

# Safe Application Factor Equation (SAFE) and Safe Application Rate (SAR)

Estimated by dividing  $LC_{50}$  (the maximum concentration at which all the test animals survived for 96 hrs) by  $LC_{100}$  which is the minimum concentration at which all the test animal died within 96 hrs. The safe application rate of an insecticide or biocide is determined by multiplying Safe Application Factor Equation (SAFE) by  $LC_{50}$  of 48 or 96 hrs (Basak and Konar, 1977).

Safe application factor equation and safe equation rate for the herbal anesthetics were also calculated individually for grass carp seeds. The  $LC_{50}$  value with their 95% confidence limits was also calculated by employing Probit regression analysis (Finney, 1971). The acute lethal toxicity of *C. idella* were carried out individually in duplicate by following the short term static bioassay technique recommended by Sprauge (1971) and APHA (1998). The experiments were concerned in finding out  $LC_{50}$  value, before starting the experiment, pilot studies were conducted to select different concentration giving to 10 to 100% mortality.

# RESULTS

#### Water Quality Parameters

In the present study, the water temperature treatments with the clove oil concentration throughout this experiment variation was 1-2°C across treatment in the 24-72 hrs period in fingerling and advance fingerling. The pH was significantly higher in 0.01  $\mu$ I/L group as compared to the fish anesthetized with 0.06  $\mu$ l/L clove oil, while CO<sub>2</sub> exhibited an opposite trend with value significantly higher in the 0.06  $\mu$ l/L treatment group when evaluated against the 0.1  $\mu$ l/L concentration. CO<sub>2</sub> value was observed to increase at the experiment ranging from 42.8 to 72.4  $\mu$ l/L. This result was expected to establish that increase in CO<sub>2</sub> cause a decrease in pH. Total ammonia concentration was markedly higher in the 72 hrs period ranging from 0.02-0.09 mg.L<sup>-1</sup>. During the experiment the total ammonia increased in all the tanks ranging from 0.02 to 0.08 mg.L<sup>-1</sup>. Nirtate concentration was higher in the 72 hrs period ranging from 3.4 to 3.6mgL<sup>-1</sup>. Dissolve oxygen higher in 72 hrs periods ranged from 6.2 to 8.8 mg.L<sup>-1</sup> concentrations of 0.1 to 0.6  $\mu$ I/L (Table 1 and 2).

# Acute Toxicity of Clove oil

Cumulative percentage of *C. idella* fingerlings exposed to different concentration of clove oil 0.01 to 0.06  $\mu$ l/L from the experiment (Table 3 and 4) and their corresponding LC<sub>50</sub> values from 24 to 72 hrs were worked out to be 0.066, 0.061 and 0.051  $\mu$ l/L respectively (Table 5).

After 4 hrs of exposure, the fingerlings under clove oil stress beyond 0.02  $\mu$ l/L level exhibited erratic swimming behavior coupled in the exposure period; the experimental animals showed imbalance associated with slow escape reflex and feeble opercular beatings. In case of advanced fingerlings exposed to different concentration of clove oil stress 0.01 to 0.06  $\mu$ l/L also exhibited the similar type of behavioural response. LC<sub>50</sub> value from 24 to 72 hrs were worked out to be 0.053, 0.038 and 0.030  $\mu$ l/L for the advance fingerlings.

Parameters	Time (hrs)	0.1(µl/L)	0.2 (µl/L)	0.3 (µl/L)	0.4 (µl/L)	0.5 (µl/L)	0.6 (µl/L)
Temp (°C)	24	25.0 ± 0.31	25.4 ± 0.62	24.8 ± 0.83	25.7 ±0.45	25.4 ±0.75	25.4 ± 0.12
	48	$24.8 \pm 0.52$	25.7 <u>+</u> 0.11	$24.5 \pm 0.65$	$25.7 \pm 0.92$	24.8 ± 0.55	25.2 ± 0.10
	72	23.6 ± 0.73	24.6 ± 0.92	$24.5 \pm 0.63$	$25.2 \pm 0.83$	$24.3 \pm 0.62$	24.6 ± 0.96
pН	24	7.72 ± 0.08	7.65 ± 0.11	7.35 ± 0.08	7.52 ± 0.08	7.53 ± 0.08	7.52 ± 0.08
	48	7.65 ± 0.04	7.52 <u>+</u> 0.01	$7.21 \pm 0.04$	$7.03 \pm 0.04$	7.21 ± 0.04	7.36 ± 0.04
	72	7.25 ± 0.08	7.02 ± 0.04	$6.90 \pm 0.08$	$6.88 \pm 0.08$	6.92 ± 0.08	6.85 ± 0.08
CO,	24	52.7 <u>+</u> 2.58	45.8 <u>+</u> 3.21	45.6 ± 1.52	$42.8 \pm 2.35$	45.3 <u>+</u> 2.35	55.3 ± 2.25
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	48	50.2 ± 1.28	55.6 <u>+</u> 2.85	$62.5 \pm 2.34$	60.5 ± 1.52	65.6 <u>+</u> 2.55	66.3 ± 2.41
	72	58.5 <u>+</u> 0.85	65.8 <u>+</u> 0.85	$67.7 \pm 0.00$	70.5 ± 1.42	70.3 ± 0.52	72.4 ± 2.35
TotalAmmonia	24	0.03 <u>+</u> 0.85	0.03 ± 0.25	$0.06 \pm 0.26$	$0.06 \pm 0.43$	0.07 ± 0.65	0.07 ± 0.23
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	48	$0.04 \pm 0.30$	0.05 <u>+</u> 0.31	$0.06 \pm 0.25$	$0.07 \pm 0.33$	0.08 ± 0.26	0.08 ± 0.41
	72	$0.06 \pm 0.42$	$0.06 \pm 0.21$	$0.07 \pm 0.34$	$0.08 \pm 0.44$	0.09 ± 0.27	0.09 ± 0.35
Nitrate	24	3.3 ± 0.56	3.5 <u>+</u> 0.25	$3.2 \pm 0.47$	3.5 <u>+</u> 0.75	3.5 ± 0.36	$3.4 \pm 0.38$
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	48	3.5 <u>+</u> 0.55	3.6 <u>+</u> 0.75	3.3 ± 0.74	$3.4 \pm 0.77$	3.5 ± 0.67	$3.4 \pm 0.64$
	72	3.5 ± 0.88	3.6 <u>+</u> 0.74	3.4 <u>+</u> 0.88	3.5 <u>+</u> 0.26	3.6 ± 0.75	$3.6 \pm 0.75$
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub>	24	6.2 ± 1.52	7.5 <u>+</u> 1.25	7.5 <u>+</u> 0.25	7.6 <u>+</u> 0.73	7.8 ± 0.27	7.8 ± 1.05
-	48	8.0 ± 0.45	7.6 ± 1.44	7.2 ± 1.15	8.0 ± 0.56	8.0 ± 0.47	7.6 ± 1.14
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	72	8.0 ± 0.74	8.0 ± 1.67	7.7 ± 1.15	7.6 $\pm$ 0.47	8.0 ± 1.42	8.2 ± 0.42

Table 1: Assessment of water quality parameters at different clove oil concentrations for fingerlings

Table 2: Assessment of water quality parameters at different clove oil concentrations for advance fingerlings

Parameters	Time (hrs)	0.1 (µl/L)	0.2 (µl/L)	0.3 (µl/L)	0.4 (µl/L)	0.5 (µl/L)	0.6 (µl/L)
Temp (°C)	24	$25.5 \pm 0.52$	25.5 ± 0.61	$25.5 \pm 0.82$	25.7 ± 0.42	25.4 ± 0.73	$25.4 \pm 0.12$
	48	25.2 ± 0.53	25.3 ± 0.10	25.4 ± 0.60	25.3 ± 0.91	25.6 <u>+</u> 0.55	25.2 ± 0.10
	72	24.8 ± 0.72	$24.2 \pm 0.92$	24.5 ± 0.61	$24.2 \pm 0.74$	24.1 ± 0.06	24.3 ± 0.92
pН	24	7.65 <u>+</u> 0.08	7.15 ± 0.11	7.03 ± 0.08	7.03 ± 0.08	7.55 <u>+</u> 0.08	7.52 ± 0.08
	48	$7.52 \pm 0.04$	7.05 ± 0.01	$7.22 \pm 0.04$	6.90 ± 0.04	7.32 ± 0.04	7.32 ± 0.04
	72	7.2 ± 0.06	7.01 ± 0.04	7.82 ± 0.08	6.88 ± 0.08	6.80 ± 0.08	7.87 ± 0.08
CO,	24	50.7 ± 2.58	45.8 ± 3.21	43.6 <u>+</u> 1.52	42.8 ± 2.35	45.3 ± 2.35	44.3 ± 2.25
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	48	52.2 <u>+</u> 1.28	48.6 ± 2.85	45.5 <u>+</u> 2.34	45.5 <u>+</u> 1.52	$45.6 \pm 2.55$	50.3 ± 2.41
	72	55.5 <u>+</u> 0.85	58.8 <u>+</u> 0.85	60.7±0.00	62.5 <u>+</u> 1.42	65.3 <u>+</u> 0.52	66.4 <u>+</u> 2.35
Total	24	$0.02 \pm 0.24$	$0.04 \pm 0.21$	0.06 ± 0.32	0.06 ± 0.23	0.06 <u>+</u> 0.36	$0.07 \pm 0.24$
Ammonia	48	0.04 ± 0.31	0.06 ± 0.33	0.06 ± 0.25	$0.07 \pm 0.42$	$0.07 \pm 0.25$	$0.07 \pm 0.34$
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	72	0.05 ± 0.25	0.06 ± 0.21	0.07 ± 0.36	0.08 <u>+</u> 0.55	0.08 ± 0.32	0.08 ± 0.35
Nitrate	24	3.3 <u>+</u> 0.56	3.5 <u>+</u> 0.25	$3.2 \pm 0.47$	3.5 ± 0.75	3.5 ± 0.35	$3.4 \pm 0.38$
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	48	3.5 ± 0.55	3.6 ± 0.75	$3.3 \pm 0.74$	$3.4 \pm 0.77$	$3.5 \pm 0.67$	$3.4 \pm 0.64$
	72	3.5 <u>+</u> 0.88	3.6 ± 0.74	3.4 ± 0.88	3.5 <u>+</u> 0.26	3.6 ± 0.75	$3.6 \pm 0.75$
Dissolve O <sub>2</sub>	24	7.4 ± 1.52	7.4 <u>+</u> 1.25	7.5 ± 0.25	7.6 ± 0.73	7.5 ± 0.27	7.6 ± 1.05
-	48	$7.4 \pm 0.45$	8.0 ± 1.44	8.0 ± 1.15	7.8 ± 0.56	$8.2 \pm 0.47$	8.8 ± 1.14
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	72	7.8 ± 0.74	8.5 ± 1.67	8.2 ± 1.15	8.0 ± 0.47	8.2 ± 1.42	8.4 ± 0.42

Table 3: Cumulative % n	nortality of	C. idella	fingerlings	exposed	to
different concentration of	of clove oil	l			

Concentration ( $\mu$ l/L)	Replication	24 hrs	48 hrs	72 hrs
Control	R1	00	00	00
	R2	00	00	00
0.01	R1	00	00	00
	R 2	00	00	00
0.02	R1	00	05	25
	R2	00	05	25
0.03	R1	10	20	40
	R2	05	20	40
0.04	R1	10	30	50
	R2	10	20	50
0.05	R1	15	40	70
	R 2	15	40	70
0.06	R1	30	60	100
	R2	30	50	60

The fingerlings and advanced fingerlings of C. *idella* exposed to different concentrations of clove oil exhibited abnormal

swimming pattern with irregular movement. The Safe Application Factor Equation (SAFE) and Safe Application Rate (SAR) for clove oil were calculated individually for fingerlings and advanced fingerlings of *C. idella*. The safe application rate for fingerlings and advanced fingerlings were workout to be 0.019 and 0.008  $\mu$ l/L for clove oil (Table 6).

# DISCUSSION

#### Water Quality Parameters

In the present study, the water temperature variation was 1-2°C across concentration treatment in the 24-72 hrs periods. In the different clove oil concentration water temperature was significantly higher in 24 - 48 hrs period for fingerling and advance fingerling (Table 1 and 2). Temperature is an important factor in determining the rate of physiological processes in ectothermic animals such as ûsh and thus plays an important role in the processes related to the uptake and elimination of drugs. This leads to a higher oxygen demand that is met by

enhanced respiration, increased cardiac output and increased blood ûow through the gills (Nilsson and Sundin, 1998; Webber et al., 1998). Poorer oxygen solubility due to rising water temperature leads to an additional need to enhance respiration and blood row. Reduced induction time at higher water temperatures has also been demonstrated in common carp (Cyprinus carpio), rainbow trout, fathead minnows (Pimephales promelas) and Atlantic halibut anaesthetised with MS-222 and in Atlantic halibut anaesthetised with benzocaine (Houston and Woods 1976; Sylvester and Holland 1982; Hikasa et al., 1986; Zahl et al., 2011). The rapid changes induction time seen at higher water temperature may be related to higher basal metabolic rate at higher temperatures (Clarke and Johnston, 1999; Zahl et al., 2012) and the corresponding increase in oxygen demand leading to increased respiration and circulation. Furthermore, water temperature was constant avoiding another variant of ammonia toxicity. The water pH decreased after the 72 hrs in the research tank probably as a result of CO, accumulation. pH and CO, exhibited opposite behavior with pH decreasing during experiment, while CO, value were observed to increase. The pH influences the toxicity of several substances in the forms of un-ionized and ionized. At low pH, un-ionized ammonia represents a small portion of the total ammonia (Boyd, 1982). The present study total ammonia levels were increased during different concentration

Table 4: Cumulative % mortality of C. *idella* advance fingerlings exposed to different concentration of clove oil

Concentration ( $\mu$ l/L)	Replication	24 hrs	48 hrs	72 hrs
Control	R1	00	00	00
	R 2	00	00	00
0.01	R1	05	10	20
	R 2	05	10	20
0.02	R1	10	30	25
	R 2	10	20	25
0.03	R1	10	20	40
	R 2	15	20	40
0.04	R1	10	30	50
	R 2	10	20	50
0.05	R1	30	40	70
	R 2	30	40	70
0.06	R1	50	80	100
	R 2	50	70	100

but the levels of un-ionized ammonia were decreased due to the reduction in the pH and probably were did not toxic to the fish.

#### Acute toxicity of clove oil

The performance of the fingerlings belongings to the different age groups shows that, the advance fingerlings were comparatively more sensitive to clove oil than the 90 days old fingerlings. The comparison between the LC50 values for fingerlings and advanced fingerlings for 24, 48 and 72 hrs reveals that, there is gradual decrease in the concentration of clove oil to bring out desired changes in the test animals. Clove oil shows an immediate effect on the fish even when it is used at low concentration when compared to such chemicals as MS-222 (Keene et al., 1998). The induction and recovery time from anesthetic effect of clove oil for fingerlings were found out to be 2 min and 5 mins whereas for advanced fingerlings the same was found out to be 1.5 min and 3 min at a concentration of 50  $\mu$ l/L. However, its recovery time after anesthesia is much longer than the other anesthetics. As the concentration of anesthesia increases the time of transition to induction stage shortens (Ross and Ross, 2008).

Prince and Powel (2000) suggested that, a concentration of 30-40 mg/L of clove oil is effective to bring out deep anesthetic effect on adult rainbow trout's. In contrast, Peake (1998) suggest that, it is safe to use clove oil at a rate of 80-100  $\mu$ l/L of water for anesthetizing non-salmonoid fishes but always a lower concentration of clove oil 40 mg/L is preferred to minimize recovery time. Kamble et al. (2014) reported that the efficacy of clove oil in different doses (0.04, 0.05, 0.07 and 0.08 ppm) were used in static water for common carp. The highest (15.10 min) and lowest (2.20 min) induction time were noticed at the dose of 0.04 and 0.08 ppm respectively. Peake (1998) indicated the concentration of 60 ml.L<sup>-1</sup> efficient for pike. Similar results were also obtained by several workers on rainbow trout (Anderson et al., 1997; Akhlaghi and Mirab, 1999; Waterstart, 1999). In research, silver catfish anesthetized with eugenol at 50 mg/L presented significantly lower plasma cortisol level than control fish (Sutili et al., 2014). Kong et al. (2014) reported that anesthetic concentration of eugenol on Anguilla reinhardtii (20-120 mg/L); Cynoglossus semilaevis (10 mg/L); Lctalurus punctatus (61 mg/L); Pseudosciana crocea

Table 5: Lethal toxicity (LC <sub>50</sub> ) v	values for C. idella fingerlings and	d advance fingerlings exposed to	o different concentration of clove oil
/ * 507	0 0		

Stage	Replicates	24 hrs Lc <sub>50</sub> (µl/L)	Slope 'b'	48 hrs Lc <sub>50</sub> (µl/L)	Slope 'b'	72 hrs Lc <sub>50</sub> (µl/L)	Slope 'b'
Fingerlings	R1	0.070(0.058-0.072)	10.72	0.064(0.062-0.083)	3.70	0.052(0.047-0.070)	9.31
0 0	R 2	0.062(0.052-0.068)	9.25	0.058(0.054-0.080)	3.65	0.050(0.046-0.068)	9.19
	Mean	0.066(0.055-0.070)	9.98	0.061(0.058-0.081)	3.67	0.051(0.046-0.069)	9.25
Advanced fingerlings	R 1	0.054(0.048-0.064)	8.18	0.035 (0.027-0.057)	2.45	0.030(0.023-0.039)	5.65
	R 2	0.053(0.047-0.063)	8.17	0.041(0.026-0.056)	2.50	0.030(0.022-0.038)	5.65
	Mean	0.053(0.047-0.063)	8.17	0.038(0.026-0.056)	2.47	0.030(0.022-0.038)	5.65

Value in parenthesis represents 95% confidence limit. R1 and R2 = Replicates

#### Table 6: Showing the safe application rate of clove oil on fingerlings and advanced fingerlings of C. idella

Stages	$LC_0 (\mu I/L)$	LC <sub>100</sub> (µl/L)	72 hrs LC <sub>50</sub> (µl/L)	SAFE	SAR
Fingerlings	0.022	0.022	0.050	0.42	0.019
Advanced Fingerlings	0.012	0.042	0.029	0.28	0.008

(3-40 mg/L) and *Spinibarbus sinensis* (12-30 mg/L). Much of the information is not available on the levels of clove oil required to bring out acute anesthetic effects to different life history stages of carp seed of *C. idella* and other tropical fishes. However, the result obtained in the present investigation is at Parr with the results obtained by the earlier workers on the temperate water fishes.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to The Director, College of Fisheries (OUAT), Rangailunda, Berhampur for providing facility for the research work. The author is also thankful to Prof. Dr. Saumyendra Nanda, College of Fisheries, Rangailunda for encouragement and providing research support during the period of study.

### REFERENCES

Akhlaghi, M. and Mirab, B. M. 1999. Anesthetic effect of clove tree and  $LC_{s0}$  detremination in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchous mykiss*). J Faculty Vet Med. 54: 52-57.

**American Public Health Association (APHA). 1998.** Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater New Yark, American Public Health Association, 20<sup>th</sup> ed.

Anderson, W. G., Mckinley, R. S. and Colave, C. M. 1997. The use of clove as an anesthetic for rainbow trout and its effects on spawning performance. *N. Am. J. Fish. Mange.* **17**: 301-307.

Basak, P. K. and Konar, S. K. 1977. Estimation of safe concentration of insecticides; A new method teste on DDT and BHC. J. Inland Fish Soc India. 9: 9-29.

**Boyd, C. E. 1982.** Water quality management for pond fish culture development in aquaculture and fisheries science publishers, *Amsterdam.* p. 456.

**Chellapan, A., Rajagopalsamy, C. B. T. and Indra Jasmine, G. 2013.** Effect of Clove oil and Benzocaine on the Respiratory Metabolism of Angel Fish *Pterophyllum scalare*. *Indian J. Sci. and Tech.* pp. 4853-4861.

Chintalchere, J. M., Lakare, S. and Pandit, R. S. 2013. Bioefficacy of essential oils of *Thymus vulgaris* and *Eugenia caryophyllus* against housefly, *Musca Domestica* L. *The Bioscan.* 8(3): 1029-1034.

Clarke, A. and Johnston, N. M. 1999. Scaling of metabolic rate with body mass and temperature in teleost fish. J. Anim. Ecol. 68: 893-905.

Cortes-Rojas, D. F., Claudia Regina Fernandes de Souza. and Wanderley Pereira Oliveira. 2014. Clove (Syzygium aromaticum) a precious spice. Asian. Pacific. J. Trop. Biomed. 4(2): 90-96.

Dolezelova, P., Macova, S., Plhalova, L., Pistekova, V. and Svobodova, Z. 2011. The acute toxicity of clove oil to fish *Danio rerio* and *Poecilia reticulate*. Acta Vet Brno. 80(3): 305-308.

Fernando, J., Sutili, L., Gressler, T. and Baldisserotto, B., 2014. Anthelmintic activity of the phytochemical eugenol against the fish parasite *Gyrodactylus* sp. and acute toxicity in *Daphnia pulex*. *Pan Ame J. Aqu. Sci.* **9(3)**: 223-227.

Finney, D. J. 1971. Probit analysis, Cambridge Uniuversity Press, London, p. 333.

Gilderhus, P. A. and Marker, L. L. 1987. Comparative efficacy of 16 anesthetics chemicals on rainbow trout. *N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.* 7: 288-292.

Gomes, L. C, Chippari, G. A. R, Lopes, N. P., Roubach, R. and Araujo, L. 2001. Efficacy of benocaine as an anesthetic in juvenile Tambaqui. *J World Aquacult Soc.* **32(4)**: 426-431.

Hanggono, B. 2003 Application of clove oil as anesthetic for sea bass

(Lates Calcarifder Bloch). M.Sc Thesis, Graduate School, Kasetsart University. p. 49.

Hekimoglu, M. A. and Ergun M. 2012. Evaluation of Clove oil as anaesthetic agent in fresh water Angelfish, *Pterophyllum scalare*. *Pakistan J. Zool.* 44(5): 1297-1300.

Hikasa, Y., Takase, K. and Ogasawara, T. 1986. Anesthesia and recovery with tricaine methanesulfonate, eugenol, and thiopental sodium in the carp, *Cyprinus carpio. Jpn. J. Vet. Sci.* **48**: 341-351.

Houston, A. H. and Woods, R. J. 1976. Influence of temperature upon tricaine methane sulphonate uptake and induction of anesthesia in rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). Compar Biochem Physiol C Pharmacol Toxicol Endocrinol. 54: 1-6.

Javahery, S., Nekoubin, H. and Moradlu, A. H., 2012. Effect of anaesthesia with clove oil in fish (review). *Fish Physiol Biochem*. 38(6): 1545-1552.

Jennings, C. A. and Looney, G. I. 1998. Evaluation of two types of anesthesia for performing surgery on striped bass. N. Am. J. Fish Manage. 18(1): 187-190.

Kamble, A. D., Saini, V. P. and Ojha, M. L. 2014. The efficacy of clove oil as anesthetic in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) and its potential metabolism reducing capacity. *Int. J. Fauna and Biol. Stu.* 1(6): 01-06.

Keene, J. L. and Noakes, D. L.G, Moccia, R. D. and Soto, C. G. 1998. The efficacy of clove oil as an anesthetic for rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss (Walbaun). Aquaculture Research. 29: 89-101.

Kong, X., Liu, X., Li, J. and Yang, Y. 2014. Advances in Pharmacological Research of Eugenol. *Curr Opin Complement Alternat Med.* 1(1): 8-11.

**Kroon, F. J. 2015**. The efficacy of clove oil for anaesthesia of eight species of Australian tropical freshwater teleosts. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods.* pp. 1-3.

Kurt, K., Sladky, M. S. D. V. M., Clifford, R., Swanson, D.V. M. M. S. and Michael, K. 2001. Comparative efficacy of tricaine methanesulfonate and clove oil for use as anesthetics in red pacu (*Piaractus brachypomus*). *Am. J. Vet. Res.* **62**: 337-342.

Munday, P. L. and Wilson, S. K. 1997. Comparative efficacy of clove oil and other chemicals in anesthetization of *Pomacentrus* amboinensis, a coral reef fish. J. Fish Biol. 51: 931-938.

Nilsson, S. and Sundin, L. 1998. Gill blood flow control. Compar Biochemis Physiol Part A Mol Integrat Physiol. 119: 137-147.

**Peake, S. 1998.** Sodium bicarbonate and clove oil as potential anesthetics for non salmonids fishes. *N. Am. J. Fish Manage.* **18(4):** 919-924.

**Prakash, P. and Gupta, N. 2005.** Therapeutic uses of *Ocimum sanctum* Linn (Tulsi) with a note on eugenol and its pharmacological actions: a short review. *Indian J. Pharmacol.* **49(2):** 125-131.

**Prince, A. and Powel, C. 2000.** Clove oil as an anesthetic for invasive filled procedures on adult rainbow trout. *N Am. Fish Manage.* **20:** 1029-1032.

**Ross, L. G. and Ross, B. 2008.** Anaesthetic and sedative techniques for aquatic animals: 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. p. 240.

**Soto, C. G. and Burhanuddin, C. G. 1995.** Clove oil as a fish anesthetic for measuring length an weight of rabit fish (*Siganus lineatus*). *Aquaculture*. **136:** 149-152.

**Sprague, J. B. 1971.** Measurement of pollutant toxicity to fish. III. Sublethal effects and "safe" concentrations. *Water Res.* **5(6)**: 245-266.

Sutili, F. J., Gressler, L. T. and Baldisserotto, B. 2014. Clove Oil, Eugenol Effective Anesthetics For Silver Catfish, Other Brazilian Species. *Glo Aqua Advocate*. pp. 71-72.

Sylvester, J. R. and Holland, L. E. 1982. Influence of temperature,

water hardness, and stocking density on MS-222 response in three species of fish. *Progress Fish Cultur.* **44:** 138-141.

Taylor, P. W. and Roberts, S. D. 1999. Clove oil: An alternative anaesthetic for aquaculture. North *American J. Aquaculture*. **61**: 150-155.

Vaid, S., Batish, D. R., Singh, H. P. and Kohli, R. K. 2010. Phytotoxic effect of eugenol towards two weedy species. *The Bioscan.* 5: 339-341.

Waterstart, P. R. 1999. Induction and recovery from Anesthesia in channel catfish *Ictaluraus punctatus* fingerlings exposed to clove oil. *J. World Aquacult. Soc.* **30(2):** 250-255.

Webber, D. M, Boutilier, R. G. and Kerr, S. R. 1998. Cardiac output as a predictor of metabolic rate in cod Gadus morhua. J. Exp. Biol. 201: 2779-2789.

Zahl, I. H., Kiessling, A., Samuelsen, O. B. and Hansen, M. K. 2011. Anaesthesia of Atlantic Halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*) effect of pre-anaesthetic sedation and importance of body weight and water temperature. *Aquacult Res.* **42**: 1235-1245.

Zahl, I. H., Samuelsen, O. and Kiessling, A. 2012. Anaesthesia of farmed fish: Implications for welfare. *Fish Physiol Biochem.* 38(1): 201-218.

Zaikov, A., Iliev, I. and Hubenova, T. 2008. Induction and recovery from anaesthesia in pike (*Esox lucius* L.) exposed to clove oil. *Bulg. J. Agric. Sci.* 14: 165-170.

Zar, J. H. 2006. Biostatistical analysis. Upper Saddler River, Prentice Hall,  $4^{th}$  Ed. p. 663.